arXiv:1611.03930v1 [math.AP] 12 Nov 2016

Uniqueness in the inverse boundary value problem for piecewise homogeneous anisotropic elasticity

Cătălin I. Cârstea* Gen Nakamura†

Abstract

Consider a three dimensional piecewise homogeneous anisotropic elastic medium Ω which consists of a finite number of bounded Lipschitz domains D_α, with each D_α a homogeneous elastic medium. One typical example is a finite element model for an ansiotropic elastic medium. Assuming we know the boundary of each D_α, we are concerned with the uniqueness in the inverse boundary value problem of identifying the anisotropic elasticity tensor on Ω from a localized Dirichlet to Neumann map given on a part of the boundary $\partial D_{\alpha_0} \subset \partial\Omega$ of D_{α_0} for a single α_0. If we can connect each D_α to D_{α_0} by a chain of $\{D_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ such that interfaces between adjacent regions contain a curved portion, we obtain global uniqueness for this inverse boundary value problem.

Keywords. Inverse boundary value problem; uniqueness; anisotropic elasticity.

MSC(2000): 35J57; 65M32; 75B05.

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain and $\Sigma \subset \partial\Omega$ be an open subset of the boundary. We consider an elastic tensor $C = C(x) = (C_{ijkl}(x))$ defined for $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \overline{\Omega}$ with real valued functions $C_{ijkl}(x)$ defined on $\overline{\Omega}$, which satisfies the symmetries

$$C_{ijkl}(x) = C_{ijlk}(x), \quad C_{ijkl}(x) = C_{klij}(x), \quad x \in \overline{\Omega},\ i,j,k,l \in \{1,2,3\}, \quad (1)$$

*National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Taipei 10617, Taiwan
†Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0808, Japan

and the strong convexity condition, i.e. there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that for any symmetric matrix $\epsilon = (\epsilon_{ij})$,

$$\epsilon : (C :: \epsilon) = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{3} C_{ijkl}(x)\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{kl} \geq \lambda(\epsilon : \epsilon), \ x \in \overline{\Omega}, \tag{2}$$

where $\epsilon : \eta$ is the inner product of matrices ϵ and $\eta = (\eta_{ij})$ defined by $\epsilon : \eta = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ij}\eta_{ij}$, and $C :: \eta$ is a matrix whose (i,j) component $(C :: \eta)_{ij}$ is defined by $(C :: \eta)_{ij} = \sum_{k,l=1}^{3} C_{ijkl}(x)\eta_{kl}$. We further assume that C is piecewise homogeneous, that is that there are a finite number of open, connected, Lipschitz subdomains D_α, $\alpha \in A$, such that $\bar{\Omega} = \cup_{\alpha\in A}\bar{D}_\alpha$, $D_\alpha \cap D_\beta = \emptyset$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$, and C is constant on each D_α. In the rest of this paper all elastic tensors are assumed to satisfy the symmetry, strong convexity condition and piecewise homogeneity.

In order to define our single measurement on Σ, let $H_{co}^{1/2}(\Sigma)$ be the closure in $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)$ of the set $C_{co}(\Sigma) = \{\omega \in C(\partial\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3) \ : \ \operatorname{supp}\omega \subset \Sigma\}$, and $H_{co}^{-1/2}(\Sigma)$ be its topological dual. Our single measurement on Σ is a pair $(\omega, (C :: Du)n|_\Sigma) \in H_{co}^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times H_{co}^{-1/2}(\Sigma)$, where n is the outer unit normal of $\partial\Omega$, $D = (\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3)$ formally considered as a column vector, matrix $Du = (\partial_l u_k) = (\partial u_k/\partial x_l)$ and the column vector $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in H^1(\Omega)$ is the solution of

$$L_C u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = \omega, \tag{3}$$

where $(L_C u)_i := \big(\operatorname{div}(C :: Du)\big)_i := \sum_{j=1}^{3} \partial_j \left(C_{ijkl}(x)\partial_l u_k\right) = 0$ in Ω, $i = 1,2,3$. The pair $(\omega, (C :: Du)n|_\Sigma)$ is a Cauchy data on Σ for the equation $L_C u = 0$ in Ω.

Now our inverse problem is to identify C from many measurements on Σ if we know the D_α's. If we consider the D_α's as finite elements of Ω, we can see our case as a very natural inverse problem for a finite element model with curvilinear polyhedron grids of an anisotropic elastic medium to identify its elastic properties by many measurements on a part of its boundary.

We will use infinitely many pairs of Cauchy data on Σ, namely the so called Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (DN map) $\Lambda_C^\Sigma \ : H_{co}^{1/2}(\Sigma) \to H_{co}^{-1/2}(\Sigma)$. The precise definition of DN map Λ_C^Σ is given as

$$\langle \Lambda_C^\Sigma \, \omega, \phi \rangle := \int_\Omega Du : (C :: Dv) = \int_\Omega \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{3} C_{ijkl}(x)\partial_k u_l \partial_i v_j, \tag{4}$$

for any $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that $v|_{\partial\Omega} = \phi$. Another way to put it is that, if the column vector $\vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$ is the outer normal to the surface $\partial\Omega$, then $(\Lambda_C^\Sigma \, \omega)_i = [(C :: Du)n]_i = \sum_{i,j,k,l}^{3} C_{ijkl}(x)n_j\partial_k u_l|_{\partial\Omega}$, $i = 1,2,3$.

Let $C^{(I)}$, $I = 1, 2$ be elastic tensors which are constant on each D_α. We assume that there is a chain D_{α_i}, $i = 1, \ldots, N$ of these subdomains (which we will abbreviate as D_i) and nonempty surfaces $\Gamma_i \subset \partial D_i$ such that $\Gamma_1 = \Sigma$, and $\bar{D}_i \cap \bar{D}_{i+1} \supset \Gamma_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N-1$.

If $\Gamma \subset \partial D_\alpha$ is open, let $\vec{n} : \Gamma \to S^2$ be the outer normal. We will say that Γ is curved if $\vec{n}(\Gamma) \subset S^2$ contains the image of a continuous curve. Our main result is:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $\Lambda^{\Sigma}_{C^{(1)}} = \Lambda^{\Sigma}_{C^{(2)}}$, additionally suppose that each of the surfaces Γ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, N$ is curved, in the sense given above. Under this assumptions it follows that $C^{(1)}|_{D_N} = C^{(2)}|_{D_N}$. Hence if each D_α is as D_N, then we have $C^{(1)} = C^{(2)}$.*

As part of the proof we will also obtain the following proposition which seems interesting in its own right:

Proposition 1.1. *If $\Lambda^{\Sigma}_{C^{(1)}} = \Lambda^{\Sigma}_{C^{(2)}}$, Σ is curved, then $C^{(1)}|_{D_1} = C^{(2)}|_{D_1}$.*

Remark 1.1. *More concise expressions of the anisotropic elasticity tensor exist in the case where there are symmetry axis(es) or plane(s) of symmetry (cf. [12]). In the paper [11] on the determination of transversally isotropic heterogeneous elasticity tensor at the boundary, there are assumptions made that the axis of symmetry is known and it is uniform. What is interesting here is that we do not need to assume any symmetry.*

Usually, for identifying the material coefficients of a piecewise homogeneous medium, with known regions of homogeneity, from the localized DN map, the argument consists of two steps. The first is to identify the coefficients at the boundary, and the second to determine the coefficients in the interior. For convenience we refer to them as *boundary determination* and *interior determination*. There are numerous other examples in the literature of works addressing these topics. Boundary determination has been studied extensively, for anisotopic elasticity past works include [10], [11], [13]. Interior determination of has also been studied for various equations for example in [**?**], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], which consider piecewise problems with piecewise constant material coefficients with a set up very similar to our own. [6] and [7] consider the problem of elasticity, but in the isotropic case. We were particularly motivated by [**?**] for the boundary determination and [8] for the inner extension of DN map (cf. Proposition 3.2).

The proof of our main result also consists of boundary determination (cf. Proposition 1.1) and interior determination (cf. Proposition 3.2). The idea for the boundary determination is to use the link between the surface impedance tensor and the fundamental solution of anisotropic homogeneous

elastic equations (cf. [9], [13]). In a series of recent papers ([**?**], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7]) a method using Green functions (or singular solutions similar to these) is employed for the purpose of proving interior uniqueness. For an elliptic system Green functions are not known to exist under the circumstances we are considering. To overcome this difficulty we we have chosen to adapt an inner extension of the DN map argument given in [8] to our case.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the proof of Proposition 1.1. Then in Section 3 we give the proof of Theorem 1.1 by proving Proposition 3.2 and combining it with Proposition 1.1.

2 Uniqueness at the boundary

Here we will prove Proposition 1.1. We will make use of the Stroh formalism. A good reference for it is [13] and we will use essentially the same notations.

Lemma 2.1. *If $P \in \Sigma$ we can recover the surface impedance tensor $Z(P, \vec{l})$ from Λ_C^Σ , for any $\vec{l} \perp \vec{n}(P)$, $|\vec{l}| = 1$.*[1]

Proof. This is a known result. See [13, Thm. 2.16]. □

Since C is constant in D_1, $Z(\cdot, \vec{l})$ is also constant in D_1. We will then denote it by $Z_{D_1}(\vec{l})$. The previous lemma implies that we can determine from Λ_C^Σ the values $Z_{D_1}(\cdot)$ takes on a circle of radius 1 situated in the plane tangent to Σ at P. We can apply this observation to all the points of Σ and, since Σ is assumed to be curved, we have:

Lemma 2.2. *There is an open set $\mathcal{S}$ of the two dimensional unit sphere S^2 centered at the origin such that $Z_{D_1}|_{\mathcal{S}}$ can be recovered from Λ_C^Σ .*

Proof. From the representation of the surface impedance tensor in [13, Thm. 1.18] and the definitions of the matrices involved in its representation, $Z_{D_1}(\cdot)$ is real-analytic on S^2. Since $\Lambda_{C^{(1)}}^\Sigma = \Lambda_{C^{(2)}}^\Sigma$, by the previous lemma and the unique continuation property of real-analytic functions it follows that $Z_{D_1}^{(1)}(\vec{l}) = Z_{D_1}^{(2)}(\vec{l})$, for all $\vec{l} \in S^2$.

□

Lemma 2.3. *If C is a constant elastic tensor and Z on S^2 is its associated surface impedance tensor, then the components of C can be recovered from the knowledge of Z.*

[1] Here C stands for either $C^{(1)}$ or $C^{(2)}$.

Proof. The fundamental solution $\Gamma(x) = (\Gamma_{lm}(x))$ is by definition a solution of $\sum_{j,k,l=1}^{3} C_{ijkl}\partial_j\partial_k\Gamma_{lm}(x) = -\delta_{im}\delta(x)$, $i, m \in \{1,2,3\}$, and has the representation formula (see [9], [13, Thm. 2.2])

$$\Gamma(x) = (4\pi|x|)^{-1}\,(Re\ Z(x/|x|))^{-1}\,,\ x \neq 0. \tag{5}$$

Since we have that $C_{ijkl}\xi_j\xi_k\hat{\Gamma}_{lm}(\xi) = \delta_{im}$, $i, m \in \{1,2,3\}$, we can then recover the elastic tensor components: $\sum_{j,k=1}^{3} C_{ijkl}\xi_j\xi_k = (\hat{\Gamma}^{-1})_{il}(\xi)$, $\xi = (\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $i, l \in \{1,2,3\}$ from which we can recover $C = (C_{ijkl})$. □

It follows that under the assumptions of Proposition 1.1, $C^{(1)}|_{D_1} = C^{(2)}|_{D_1}$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The major task of this section is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *If* $\Lambda^{\Sigma_1}_{C^{(1)}} = \Lambda^{\Sigma_1}_{C^{(2)}}$, *then* $\Lambda^{\Sigma_i}_{C^{(1)}} = \Lambda^{\Sigma_i}_{C^{(2)}}$, $i = 2, \cdots, N$.

Combining this with Proposition 2.2, we immediately have Theorem 1.1.

In order to prove Proposition 3.1, we will proceed inductively. Let $\Omega_i := \Omega \setminus \cap_{j=1}^{i-1}\bar{D}_j$. In this case $\Gamma_i \subset \partial\Omega_i$ and we can define $\Lambda^{\Gamma_i}_{C}$, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for the anisotropic elasticity equation on the domain Ω_i, with elastic tensor $C|_{\Omega_i}$, localized to Γ_i. To conclude the proof it is enough to show:

Proposition 3.2. $\Lambda^{\Gamma_1}_{C}$ *determines* $\Lambda^{\Gamma_2}_{C}$.

Before proceeding with the proof we willl clarify the assumptions we use and introduce some notation. We assume $\Omega_2 \subset \Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ are Lipschitz domains, $\Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_2$ is connected and not empty. We define and $\Sigma_1 := \partial\Omega_1 \setminus \partial\Omega_2$, $\Sigma_2 := \partial\Omega_2 \setminus \partial\Omega_1$. $\Gamma_1 \subset \Sigma_1$ and $\Gamma_2 \subset \Sigma_2$ are non-empty, open, and curved in the sense described above. We will assume we have elastic tensors C^0 and $C = C^0 + \chi_{\Omega_2}C^1$, that are piecewise constant on $\bar{\Omega}_1$. We will use the Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps $\Lambda^{\Gamma_1}_{C^0}$ and $\Lambda^{\Gamma_1}_{C}$ maps defined for the elasticity problem in the domain Ω_1 and $\Lambda^{\Sigma_2}_{C}$ defined for the elasticity problem in Ω_2. Of course, knowledge of $\Lambda^{\Sigma_2}_{C}$ implies the knowledge of $\Lambda^{\Gamma_2}_{C}$. It is important to remark here that the unique continuation property of solutions (*UCP*) holds for L_{C^0} and L_C using the Holmgren uniqueness theorem and regularity up to the boundary and interfaces.

The inner extension of DN map has been already discussed in [8] for the conductivity equation when $\overline{\Omega_2} \subset \Omega_1$. His argument can be easily adapted to our case by modifying the so called Runge's approximation. The arguments

will be divided into several lemmas. We will not give a proof to the lemma if it can be proved in the same way as in [8].

For any $F \in H^{-1}(\Omega_1) = (H_0^1(\Omega_1))^*$ we define $G_0 F := U_0$, where U is such that

$$L_{C^0} U_0 = -F \quad \text{in } \Omega_1, \quad U_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega_1). \tag{6}$$

We also define $GF = G_0 F + W(F)$ where

$$L_C W = -L_{\chi_{\Omega_2} C^1} U_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_1, \quad W \in H_0^1(\Omega_1). \tag{7}$$

Note that if Green's functions existed for the operators L_{C^0}, L_C on Ω_1, they would be the Schwartz kernels of the operators G_0 and G.

For $f \in H_{co}^{-1/2}(\Sigma_2)$ we define $T_f \in H^{-1}(\Omega_1)$ by

$$T_f(\phi) = \langle f, \phi|_{\Sigma_2} \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega_1). \tag{8}$$

Note that is ok since $\phi|_{\Sigma_2} \in H_{co}^{1/2}(\Sigma_2)$.

We define the single layer operator S^{Σ_2} as a bounded linear operator $S^{\Sigma_2} : H_{co}^{-1/2}(\Sigma_2) \to H_{co}^{1/2}(\Sigma_2)$ defined by $S^{\Sigma_2} f = U|_{\Sigma_2}$, where

$$L_C U = -T_f \quad \text{in } \Omega_1, \quad U \in H_0^1(\Omega_1). \tag{9}$$

Note that that for any $f, h \in H_{co}^{-1/2}(\Sigma_2)$ we have

$$\langle h, S^{\Sigma_2} f \rangle = T_h(U) = \langle h, (GT_f)|_{\Sigma_2} \rangle = T_h(GT_f). \tag{10}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u \in H_0^1(\Omega_1)$ be such that $L_{C^0} u = 0$ in an open set V, $\Omega_2 \subset V \subset \Omega_1$, then there exists a sequence $u_l \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that $L_{C^0} u_l = 0$ in Ω_1, supp $u_l|_{\partial\Omega_1} \subset \Gamma_1$, $u_l \to u$ in $H^1(\Omega_2)$.*

Proof. First we expand the domain Ω_1. Let $P \in \Gamma_1$ and consider a ball $B(P, r)$ such that $B(P, r) \cap \partial\Omega_1 \subset \Gamma_1 \setminus \overline{V}$ and $\Omega_0 := \Omega_1 \cup B(P, r)$ is a Lipschitz domain.

For $F \in H^{-1}(\Omega_0)$ let $G_{\Omega_0} F = u_0$, where $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega_0)$ solves $L_{C^0} u_0 = -F$ in Ω_0. We define two subspaces of $H^1(\Omega_2)$: $X := \{v|_{\Omega_2} : v \in H_0^1(\Omega_1), L_{C^0} v = 0 \text{ in } V\}$, $Y := \left\{G_{\Omega_0} F|_{\Omega_2} : F \in H^{-1}(\Omega_0), \text{supp } F \subset \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{\Omega_1}\right\}$. It is enough to show that Y is dense in X with respect to $H^1(\Omega_2)$. By the Hahn–Banach theorem, this is equivalent to showing that if $f \in (H^1(\Omega_2))^*$ is such that $f(G_{\Omega_0} F|_{\Omega_2}) = 0$ for all $F \in H^{-1}(\Omega_0)$, supp $F \subset \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{\Omega_1}$, then $f = 0$ on X.

Define $\tilde{f} \in H^{-1}(\Omega_0)$ by $\tilde{f}(\phi) = f(\phi|_{\Omega_2})$ for any $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega_0)$. For any F as given right above, we have

$$0 = f(G_{\Omega_0} F|_{\Omega_2}) = \tilde{f}(G_{\Omega_0} F) = \int_{\Omega_0} D(G_{\Omega_0} \tilde{f}) : \left(C^0 :: D(G_{\Omega_0} F)\right) = F(G_{\Omega_0} \tilde{f}). \tag{11}$$

It then follows that $G_{\Omega_0}\tilde{f} = 0$ in $\Omega_0 \setminus \overline{\Omega_1}$. Since $L_{C^0} G_{\Omega_0}\tilde{f} = 0$ in $\Omega_0 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}$, by the unique continuation property for L_{C^0} we have that $G_{\Omega_0}\tilde{f} = 0$ in $\Omega_1 - \bar{\Omega}_2$.

Let $v|_{\Omega_2} \in X$ and let $\tilde{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega_0)$ be the zero extension of v to Ω_0. Then

$$f(v|_{\Omega_2}) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{v}) = \int_{\Omega_0} D(G_{\Omega_0}\tilde{f}) : (C^0 :: D\tilde{v}) = \int_{\Omega_2} D(G_{\Omega_0} f) : (C^0 :: Dv) = 0. \tag{12}$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Assuming C^0 and $\Lambda_C^{\Gamma_1}$ are known, then $H(GF)$ can be determined for any $F, H \in H^{-1}(\Omega_1)$ with supp F, supp $H \subset \Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Assuming C^0 and $\Lambda_C^{\Gamma_1}$ are known, we can determine S^{Σ_2}.*

Proof. Since $L^2_{co}(\Sigma_2) := \{f \in L^2(\partial\Omega_2) : \text{supp} f \subset \Sigma_2\}$ is dense in $H_{co}^{-1/2}(\Sigma_2)$ and S^{Σ_2} is bounded linear, we only need to show that $S^{\Sigma_2} f$ can be computed for any $f \in L^2_{co}(\Sigma_2)$. Around any point $P \in \Sigma_2$ we can find coordinates such that locally Σ_2 is $\{x_3 = 0\}$ and $\Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}$ is $\{x_3 > 0\}$. Let $f \in L^2(\Sigma_2)$ be supported in this local coordinate patch. Define $F_\epsilon \in H^1(\Omega_1)$ by

$$F_\epsilon(\phi) = \langle f, \phi_{x_3=\epsilon}\rangle = \int f(x')\phi(x', \epsilon)dx', \tag{13}$$

for any $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega_1)$. Then

$$|F_\epsilon(\phi) - T_f(\phi)| == \left|\int_{0\le x_3\le\epsilon} f(x')\partial_3\phi(x', x_3)\right| \le ||\phi||_{H_0^1(\Omega_1)}||f||_{L^2(\Sigma_2)}\epsilon^{1/2}. \tag{14}$$

Using a partition of unity argument we have that for any $f \in L^2(\partial\Omega_2)$ with supp $f \subset \Sigma_2$ we can construct $F_n \in H^{-1}(\Omega_1)$ such that $F_n \to T_f$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega_1)$.

Let $f, h \in L^2_{co}(\Sigma_2)$. Since we have that $\langle h, S^{\Sigma_2} f\rangle = T_f(GT_h)$ and we can approximate T_f and T_h by F_n, H_n with supp F_n, supp $H_n \subset \Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}$, by Lemma 3.2 we obtain the desired conclusion. □

Now let $\Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2,+}$ be the local Dirichlet-to-Neumann map associated to the elasticity problem in $\Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}$ with boundary data supported in Σ_2. That is, if $f \in H_{co}^{1/2}(\Sigma_2)$ and $u^+ \in H^1(\Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2})$ is the solution of

$$L_C u^+ = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_1 - \bar{\Omega}_2, \quad u_+|_{\partial(\Omega_1 - \bar{\Omega}_2)} = f, \tag{15}$$

then for any $v \in H^1(\Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2})$ such that $v|_{\partial(\Omega_1\setminus\overline{\Omega_2})} = h \in H_{co}^{1/2}(\Sigma_2)$,

$$\langle \Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2,+} f, h\rangle = -\int_{\Omega_1\setminus\overline{\Omega_2}} Du^+ : C : Dv. \tag{16}$$

Lemma 3.4.
(i) $\Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2} - \Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2,+}$ *is injective.*
(ii) *For any* $f \in H_{co}^{-1/2}(\Sigma_2)$, $(\Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2} - \Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2,+})S^{\Sigma_2} f = f$.

Proof. Although the proof is almost the same as in [8], we will provide it to clarify that the DN maps can be localized here. We first prove (i). To begin with let $f \in H_{co}^{1/2}(\Sigma_2)$ be such that $(\Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2} - \Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2,+})f = 0$. If $u \in H^1(\Omega_2)$ solves

$$L_C u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_2, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega_2} = f, \tag{17}$$

and u^+ is as above, let $\tilde{u} := \chi_{\Omega_2} u + \chi_{\Omega_1 \setminus \Omega_2} u^+$. Then $\tilde{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega_1)$ and $L_C u = 0$ in the whole Ω_1. It follows that $\tilde{u} \equiv 0$.

Next we prove (ii). For that let $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega_1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \phi|_{\Sigma_2} \rangle &= \int_{\Omega_1 - \bar{\Omega}_2} D(GT_f) : C : D\phi + \int_{\Omega_2} D(GT_f) : C : D\phi \\ &= -\langle \Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2,+}(S^{\Sigma_2} f), \phi|_{\Sigma_2} \rangle + \langle \Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2}(S^{\Sigma_2} f), \phi|_{\Sigma_2} \rangle = < (\Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2} - \Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2,+}) S^{\Sigma_2} f, \phi|_{\Sigma_2} \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

□

From Lemma 3.4, it follows that $\Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2} - \Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2,+} = (S^{\Sigma_2})^{-1}$ and hence combining this with Lemma 3.3 and the observation that $\Lambda_C^{\Sigma_2}$ determines $\Lambda_C^{\Gamma_2}$, we have Proposition 3.2.

Acknowledgement The work of this paper was initiated when the second author stayed in NCTS (National Center for Theoretical Science) of National Taiwan University, Taipei for 3 months with support during the year of 2016. Also he was partially supported by grant-in-aid for Scientific Research (15K21766 and 15H05740) of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for inviting the first author to Japan in order to work on the subject of this paper. Subsequently the first author has traveled to Hokkaido University for 11 days, when further work was done towards this paper. The transportation costs were covered by NCTS, local support provided by Hokkaido University. We acknowledge all these support.

References

[1] G. Alessandrini, M. V. de Hoop and R. Gaburro, *Uniqueness for the electric inverse boundary value problem with piecewise contant anisotropic conductivities*, arXiv:1604.02948v1 (2016) 29 pp.

[2] G. Alessandrini, K. Kim, *Single-logarithmic stability for the Calderón problem with local data*, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. 20 (2012), no. 4, pp. 389-400.

[3] G. Alessandrini, S. Vessella, *Lipschitz stability for the inverse conductivity problem*, Adv. in Appl. Math. 35 (2005), no. 2, pp. 207-241.

[4] E. Beretta, M. de Hoop, L. Qiu, *Lipschitz stability of an inverse boundary value problem for a Schrödinger-type equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 45 (2013), no. 2, pp. 679-699.

[5] E. Beretta, E. Francini, *Lipschitz stability for the electrical impedance tomography problem: the complex case*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 36 (2011), no. 10, 1 pp. 723-1749.

[6] E. Beretta, E. Francini, A. Morassi, E. Rosset, S. Vessella, *Lipschitz continuous dependence of piecewise constant Lamé coefficients from boundary data: the case of non-flat interfaces*, Inverse Problems 30 (2014), no. 12, 125005, 18 pp.

[7] E. Beretta, E. Francini, S. Vessella, *Uniqueness and Lipschitz stability for the identification of Lamé parameters from boundary measurements*, Inverse Probl. Imaging 8 (2014), no. 3, pp. 611-644.

[8] M. Ikehata, *Reconstruction of inclusion from boundary measurements*, J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems, 10 (2002) pp. 37-66.

[9] G. Nakamura and K. Tanuma,*A formula for the fundamental solution of anisotropic elasticity*, Q. J. Mech. Appl. Math., 50 (1997) pp. 179-194.

[10] G. Nakamura and K. Tanuma,*Local determination of conductivity at the boundary from the Dirichlet to Neumann map*, Inverse Problems, 17 (2001) pp. 405-419.

[11] G. Nakamura, K. Tanuma and G. Uhlmann, *Layer stripping for a transversally isotropic elastic medium*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 59 (1999) pp. 1879-1891.

[12] T. C.T. Ting, *Anisotropic elasticity. The theory and applications*, Oxford Engineering Science Series, 45 (1996), Oxford University Press, New York, 570 pp.

[13] K. Tanuma, *Stroh formalism and Rayleigh waves*, Journal of Elasticity, The Physical and Mathematical Science of Solids, vol. 89, 2007, 159 pp.